• Children placed with relatives are less likely to experience placement in a treatment or residential facility; such placements were experienced by 17.3% of those placed with relatives versus 27.3% of those placed with non-relatives.

4. Interviews with relative and non-relative caregivers of children in foster care found that youth served were more likely to have/maintain connections to their father/paternal relatives and that relative placement was associated with:

• Greater involvement in extracurricular/pro-social activities;
• Employment/work for pay for youth age 14 and older;
• More frequent contact with both maternal and paternal relatives;
• More frequent contact and positive relationships with both mother and father;
• Caregiver perception of greater availability of emotional support;
• Greater availability of some natural supports (e.g., child care/babysitting); and
• Greater assistance from natural supports in involving child in pro-social activities.

Findings from both child welfare administrative data and caregiver interview data confirm that 30 Days to Family® is successful in achieving its second primary goal to ensure natural and community supports are in place to promote stability for the child.

5. Cost analysis comparing expenses associated with 30 Days to Family® and the "as usual" model of services identified several sources of cost savings:

• Fewer days in care: Based on the Missouri cost estimate of $111.79 per day, per child, an average 91.4 fewer days would produce a cost savings per child of $10,217.61, exceeding the per child 30 Days to Family® program cost of $3,247 per child.
• For children 9 and older the cost savings are $21,687.26.
• Lower percentage of children placed in medical, mental health, and residential treatment facilities result in reduced child welfare placement and Medicaid expenditures.
• Greater likelihood of exiting foster care to guardianship, contributing to reduced days in care.
• Greater placement stability that reduces child welfare administrative costs associated with moves.

The full findings of this independent study will be published in a peer-reviewed journal later in 2017.

NEXT STEPS

The Coalition aims to continue the study of 30 Days to Family® in 2017 with further examinations of our data, as well as the data of several sites around the country which are currently replicating this groundbreaking program.

For more information, visit our website at www.foster-adopt.org or contact Gayle Flavin, Director of Program Implementation, at gayleflavin@foster-adopt.org.
WHAT IS 30 DAYS TO FAMILY®?

30 Days to Family® is an intense, short-term intervention developed by the Foster & Adoptive Care Coalition to: 1) increase the number of children placed with relatives/kin at the time they enter the foster care system; and 2) ensure natural and community supports are in place to promote stability for the child. The program model features two major elements: family finding and family support interventions.

WHY IS IT IMPORTANT?

Multiple researchers have found evidence that kinship caregivers provide children and youth with a secure environment and beneficial treatment (Cole, 2002; Hegar, 1993; Herring, Shook, Goodkind, & Kim, 2009; Koh, 2010). There are a host of other positive results from kinship care including stability, fewer behavioral problems, and being less likely to reenter foster care (NCSAW, 2005, Conway & Hutson, 2013; and Winokur, et al, 2008; Sakai, Lin & Flores, 2011; Lin, 2014). Additionally, 30 Days to Family® enables states to comply with requirements of federal law to notify and engage families when children are placed in foster care. With 30 Days to Family®, the requirements are met with better placement results and outcomes for children and families.

WHAT ARE THE RESULTS?

A rigorous, independent study was commissioned to test the 30 Days to Family® theory of change. The study employed a set of four related sub-studies that produced important evidence of the program’s effectiveness:

1. An implementation study that examined implementation fidelity of 30 Days to Family® and differentiated it from services “as usual,” found the following:
   - The program model, its major components and intended outcomes are well articulated and there is a high level of fidelity in implementing the model.
   - Child welfare professionals from organizations that work closely with the program on a day-to-day basis view the program very favorably and reported they observed numerous benefits:
     - For children – reduced trauma, increased stability, preserved family connections, and less time in foster care (confirmed by data in another sub-study);
     - For families – maintained connections to the child, increased involvement of father and paternal relatives, and stronger formal and informal support system; and
     - For the child welfare system – better placement options, improved family engagement and decision making, and reduced barriers to relative placement.
   
2. Analyses of child welfare administrative data comparing children served and not served produced evidence of several notable outcomes. First, the program is highly successful in achieving its primary goal of increasing placements with relatives. At 125 days after entering foster care, 65.2% of those children served had experienced a relative placement compared with 44.3% of the not served population.

   3. Children served who achieved relative placement were an average of two years older and more likely to have a disability of any type. Administrative data also showed the following:
     - Children served are in foster care 91.4 fewer days than the matched sample of children not served, and children with a disability who were served remained in care an average 257.8 fewer days.
     - Relative placement improves placement stability; overall the likelihood of a placement change was reduced 81% after a child was placed with a relative.

   Every child served saves taxpayers an average of $10,217.61
   Every child served who has an identified disability saves taxpayers an average of $28,819.46
   Children experience less residential care: 14% placed with relative vs 26% without relative placement
   Reported greater involvement in extra curriculars: 60% in relative homes vs 45% in non-relative homes
   Reported greater involvement in employment: 60% served vs 21% not served
   Reported more contact with paternal relatives: 79% in relative homes vs 29% in non-relative homes
   Reported more positive relationships with mother: 61% served vs 30% not served

   Children DO BETTER

   CAREGIVERS LESS STRESSED

   COST EFFECTIVE